

Lecture 3

Monday, October 7, 2019 6:17 AM

Recall: • A metric space (X, d) is connected if X, \emptyset are the only subsets that are both open and closed.
• Equivalently, X is not connected if $X = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and both A, B open.

Note: If A, B open, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} = A \cap B = \emptyset$. (Why?)

Correction. In Ex. from end of last lecture: $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow A \cup B$ not connected needs additional assumptions. Either:
① A, B open in \mathbb{C} , or ② $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} = A \cap B = \emptyset$.

[Finish material from Lecture 2 notes.]

Def. A component of X is a maximal connected subset; i.e., $D \subseteq X$ is a component if D is connected and there are no connected subsets that contain D as a proper subset.

Thm 1. If (X, d) is a metric space, then $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D_\alpha$ where $\{D_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is the collection of all components. Moreover,
 $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Thm 2. Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open. Then the collection of components is countable, and each component is open.

The proofs hinge on the following simple lemma:

Lemma 1. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\{D_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ a collection of connected subsets such that $x_0 \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} D_\alpha$. Then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D_\alpha$ is connected.

Pf: Suppose $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D_\alpha$ is open, closed, $\neq \emptyset$. Must show $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D_\alpha$. For each α , $A \cap D_\alpha$ is open and closed in D_α . Since D_α is connected, either $A \cap D_\alpha = \emptyset$ or $A \cap D_\alpha = D_\alpha$. But $A \neq \emptyset$, so $A \cap D_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for some $\alpha \Rightarrow A \cap D_\alpha = D_\alpha \Rightarrow \forall \alpha \subset A \Rightarrow A \cap D_\alpha \neq \emptyset \forall \alpha$

or $A \cap D_\alpha = D_\alpha$. But $A \neq \emptyset$, so $A \cap D_\beta \neq \emptyset$ for some $\beta \Rightarrow A \cap D_\beta = D_\beta \Rightarrow x_0 \in A \Rightarrow A \cap D_\alpha \neq \emptyset \forall \alpha \Rightarrow A \cap D_\alpha = D_\alpha \forall \alpha \Rightarrow A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} D_\alpha$. \square

Pf of Thm 1. Follows easily from Lemma 1, which immediately tells us that distinct components are disjoint. To show that every point is in some component, consider the family of connected subsets that contain the point and take their union. \square

Pf of Thm 2. We first show that components of G are open. Let $D \subseteq G$ be a component, $z_0 \in D$. Since G is open $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $B(z_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq G$. But if $B(z_0, \varepsilon) \not\subseteq D$, then $B(z_0, \varepsilon) \cup D$, which is connected by Lemma 1, would properly contain D , contradicting that D is a component. Thus, D is open.

To see that the collection of components is countable, consider the Gaussian rationals $\{z_n = x_n + iy_n \mid x_n, y_n \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. ↖ rational #.

This is a countable, dense subset of \mathbb{C} . Since each component D_α is open, it must contain at least one (well, ∞ many) of the z_n 's. Since each z_n can only belong to one component, we get a surjective map $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{D_\alpha\}$. Thus, the collection is countable

\square